



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,661	05/17/2006	Michael Prosser	26786-520-NATL	9367

35437 7590 04/08/2009
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON, MA 02111

EXAMINER

YANG, ANDREW

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3775

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/08/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/579,661	Applicant(s) PROSSER, MICHAEL	
	Examiner ANDREW YANG	Art Unit 3775	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDREW YANG. (3) ____.

(2) BORIS MATVENKO. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: White et al, Berry et al. Brantigan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed amendments that would overcome prior art and resolve 112 issues. Examiner suggested adding language to better define the arrangement of the spacer and cage members. Defining that the cage and spacer are not flush against each other when assembly is implanted in a spinal column.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Yang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775
------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------